

## Follow-Up Regarding Submitted Resolutions, Agenda Handling, and Board Bylaws

Good morning, Chairman Wiley and Clerk Dane,

I am following up because the response I received did not fully address the substance of my inquiry.

I understand your position that Rule 5.13.4, as presently adopted, no longer automatically grants a member of the public the right to place an item on the Board's agenda or receive extended speaking time outside normal public comment.

That said, my concern is not limited to whether I am granted speaking time. My concern is whether the resolutions I submitted were properly received, filed, preserved, and distributed to the Board in the ordinary course of business for commissioner review ahead of the April 14, 2026 meeting.

I addressed my submission to both the Chairman and the Clerk for a reason. Under the Board's current Rules of Procedure and By-Laws, the Clerk is required to file all communications addressed to and received by the Board. The bylaws also place agenda preparation with the Chairperson, and Rule 5.13.4 now states that a person seeking to address the Board in an extensive manner may be placed on the agenda at the discretion of the Chairperson.

The response I received did provide a link to the published agenda, and the resolutions do not appear to be on that agenda. However, that still does not answer the actual questions presented. It remains unknown whether Chairman Wiley exercised the discretion now claimed under Rule 5.13.4, whether Clerk Dane filed the communications as required by the bylaws, whether the Board was informed of the submissions, or whether the resolutions were provided to the commissioners in any form for review.

I am not a mind reader. If the Board is relying on the Chairperson's discretion under the amended rule, then the public is entitled to know whether that discretion was actually exercised by the Chairperson, and how. A reply from the Clerk reciting the rule change and linking the agenda does not answer that question.

So I ask again, plainly:

**Were my submitted resolutions received?**

**Were they filed as communications addressed to and received by the Board?**

**Were they provided to the commissioners?**

**Were they included in the meeting packet or otherwise circulated for review?**

**Did Chairman Wiley personally decide not to place these matters on the agenda under Rule 5.13.4?**

If not, who made that decision, and under what rule, policy, or authority were they withheld from the commissioners and omitted from the packet?

Public comment is not a substitute for proper receipt and circulation of written submissions to the Board, especially where those submissions concern matters of public record and county governance. If the Board or its officers are now taking the position that citizen-submitted resolutions may simply be ignored, withheld, or excluded from commissioner review without explanation, then I expect that position to be stated clearly and in writing.

I also want to make one additional point clear.

For years, the Board's longstanding rule provided that when a person desired to address the Board in an extensive manner and gave timely notice, that person shall be placed on the agenda for a reasonable period of time. I personally addressed the Board under that rule only a few months ago, and many other residents have done the same over the years.

The Board has now changed that language from shall to may, and added that such placement is at the discretion of the Chairperson. That change is especially concerning in the present circumstances, where the Chairperson is the very commissioner whose conduct is addressed in two of the submitted resolutions.

At a minimum, that creates the appearance that agenda access is now being controlled by the official whose own conduct is being challenged. That does not strengthen public confidence in the fairness or integrity of the Board's procedures.

It is also notable that the response to my request came from the Clerk rather than from the Chairperson, even though the current bylaws place agenda preparation and discretionary agenda placement with the Chair. If the Chair is the one exercising this discretion, then the Board and the public are entitled to know that plainly.

Just as importantly, the bylaws do not leave the rest of the Board powerless. The Chair's procedural decisions are subject to appeal by the Board, and the Board may make exceptions to the normal order of business by majority vote. In other words, the remaining commissioners are not without authority here. They may act if they choose to act, which is precisely why the filing and circulation of these documents matters under the county's own bylaws.

These resolutions were submitted because they concern matters of public record, public trust, and county governance. They deserve to be reviewed by the full Board and addressed on their merits, not buried behind a recently revised discretionary rule.

If my resolutions were not circulated, I request that they be immediately transmitted to all commissioners before the April 14 meeting so that no one can later claim lack of notice or lack of access to the documents submitted. Given that these materials were filed in a timely manner, I expect the procedures spelled out in the bylaws to be followed.

Please provide a direct written response to each of the above questions. If this matter is not handled in a manner consistent with fair process, transparency, and the Board's own governing

procedures, I will be forced to consider retaining legal counsel. I am attempting to handle this professionally despite the repeated lack of transparency and accountability this Board continues to display.

Because the prior response was not sent reply-all, I have included a screenshot to provide context for the Attorney General, County Prosecutor, Sheriff, state legislators, news outlets, state and district Republican Party officials, and others who are paying attention.

I look forward to responses from both the Chair and the Clerk.

Have a wonderful weekend.

Lance Lashaway  
Lashawayandsons@gmail.com  
517-425-1003